



Universidade Federal do ABC – UFABC Rigaku Latin America - RLA

Academic & Industrial Doctorate Program

PhD: Jenny Sayaka Komatsu Professor: Wagner Alves Carvalho Industrial Supervisor: Pol Willy Gerard de Pape





Fabric Waste Valorisation: a neglected material for application as dye adsorbent and magnetic mesoporous carbon precursor

#### **BRAZIL – São Paulo State – Santo André**





Universidade Federal do ABC Science & Technology Bachelor Humanities Science Bachelor Research form Day One (PDPD – Pesquisa Desde o Primeiro Dia) Industrial & Academic Doctorate Program (DAI – Doutorado Acadêmico Industrial)

# DAI Program (Industrial Academic Doctorate program)

#### **DAI** Doutorado Acadêmico Industrial



Start: 2013 UFABC + CNPq

2021 MAI:Mestrado Acadêmico para Inovação First class in 2021 (now under Selection Process)





#### **DAI – Partner Company**



#### http://dai.ufabc.edu.br/mapa.php



4



#### **Research Context – Why Textile Fabrics ?**





**YOUR** Env

# OR





Brazil MSW Generation<sup>a</sup>: 259,547 ton.day<sup>-1</sup>

# Latin America<sup>b</sup>: 2.6 % (IPCC, 2006) Brazil: 2.5 million ton.year<sup>-1</sup>

World<sup>c</sup>: 32 million ton.year<sup>-1</sup>

**Textile** 

Reference: a) Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) b) IPCC Report 2006, for Latin America c) Shepherd et al (2017)

#### **BRAZIL – Municipal Solid Waste**



- Increase on Middle-class Population

- Fast Fashion Phenomena

In last 15 years, clothing production

has doubled

170,000 ton(2) of clean fabric scrap from cloth making

Reference (2) Associação Brasileira da Indústria Têxtil e de Confecção (Abit)



#### WHY NOT RECYCLE?

UFABC

Traditional Recycling process

require High chemical and procedures demand because of many different fibbers, colours, and other additives (heating, flame retardant, antistatic agent, softener, degreaser, etc)

 Table – Textile Fibber Classification, according to Brazilian Standard ABNT 12744-1992

NATURAL FIBER			CHEMICAL FIBER	
VEGETABLE	ANIMAL	MINERAL	ARTIFICIAL	SYNTHETIC
SEEDS	SECRETION	Asbesto	Acetate	Acrylic
Cotton	Silk		Alginate	Aramid
Kapok	HAIR/FUR		Rubber	Polyester
STALK	Alpaca		Carbon	Polyvinyl Chloride
Нетр	Angora		Casein	Polyvinylidene Chloride
Jute	Goat		Cuprammomium	Elastane (Spandex <sup>®</sup> , Lycra <sup>®</sup> )
Kenaf	Cashmere		Slag	Modacrylics
Linen	Camel		Lyocel	Multipolymer
Malva	Rabbit		Metallic	Polyamide
Rami	Sheep (wool)		Metallized	Polycarbamide
LEAF	Lhama		Rock	PolyChloroFluoroethylene
Abaca	Mohair		Triacetate	Polyurethane
Caroá	Vicunha		Glass	Polyvinyl alcohol
Formio			Viscose	
Sisal				
FRUIT				
Coconut				
-	E	200		

Leading With Innovation

# Only 1 % in the world

~15,000 colorants type (Ref1)

#### > 3150 additives listed in Industrial Guide (Ref2)

Ref1 :ZOLLINGER, Heinrich. Color Chemistry - Synthesis, Properties, and Aplications of Organic Dyes and Pigments. Third ed. Zurich: Wiley-VCH and VHCA, 2003.

Ref2: FLICK, E.W. 1980. Textile Finishing Chemicals: An Industrial Guide. Noyes Publication. Nova Jersey, EUA

# **World Activated Carbon Market**



#### **BRAZIL FOREIGN TRADE**<sup>b</sup>



Year

JFABC

#### WIDE RANGE OF APPLLICATIONS PROMOTED MAINLY BY ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATIONS



a) Market and Markets<sup>TM</sup> Private Reserch. https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/activated-carbon-362.html, accessed on 8th October 2020

b) The Observatory of Economic Complexity. https://oec.world/en/profile/hs92/63802/#trade, accessed on 08th October 2020

Leading With Innovation

# Polyester/Cotton for Adsorption and MAC characterization



9

#### **ACTIVATED CARBON** Conventional Process



Activated Carbon Pore Development

Wood Agro-Industrial Residues



#### **ACTIVATED CARBON** Chemical Activation



#### **A NEW PROPOSAL** Magnetic Activated Carbon from Textile



impregnation with  $Fe(NO_3)_3.9H_2O$ + pyrolysis at 650-800°C, 2h (N<sub>2</sub>)





#### **A NEW PROPOSAL** Magnetic Activated Carbon from Textile



Alternative for Activated Carbon in Adsoprtion

Dye Destruction after adsorption

**Increase C yield in the final AC** 

NO Effluent

#### **METHOD** Iron impregnation & Dye adsorption



# **METHOD Pyrolysis**



# **Cotton adsorption mechanism - kinetics**

#### **Synthetic Dye Solution**

FABC

Stock Solution: 5g L<sup>-1</sup> Dye + 75 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl At Use: Dilute to the required concentration Add NaOH for pH=11 Oven 60 °C, 1.5 h (hydrolysis) Dilute 1:1 with water

(A)

#### C) McKay-Poots intraparticle diffusion model

Multilinear plot  $\implies$  two steps occuring during colore removal process Linear Coef  $\neq 0 \implies$  intraparticle diffusion is NOT the only rate-controlling step Linear Coef  $\propto$  boundary layer thickness.

- indication of the ability of the adsorbents to remove the target pollutant from solution
- also seen as viscous drag which exists between the sorbent surface and solution (B) (C)



Figure 1. Reactive Black Dye Adsorption by White Cotton Fabric+Fe.

(A) Kinetics (starting dye/adsorbent = 50 mg/g), (B) pseudo-second order kinetics model, (C) McKay&Poots model.

Leading With Innovation

#### **Cotton adsorption mechanism - kinetics**



# **Cotton adsorption mechanism – isotherm models**

#### Langmuir:

 $R^2$  = 0.967 (good Fit) monolayer adsorption mechanism  $Q_{max}$  = 31 mg g^{-1}

(A)



Literature reference

for reactive black dye adsorption:

<u>Ferreira (2015)</u>, starting from 8.22 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Coal power plant Ash: 5.7 mg<sub>RB5</sub> g<sup>-1</sup>, 60 h

<u>Ip et al. (2009)</u>, starting from 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Peat 7 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, Bone char 157 mg g<sup>-1</sup> Commercial AC F400 and 176 mg g<sup>-1</sup>

Figure 2. Reactive Black Dye AdsorptionIsotherms at 40 °C, 2 h contact.(A) WCotFe7 in pH10

Rigaku Leading With Innovation

#### **Cotton adsorption mechanism – isotherm models (2)**



(B) Pure Cotton in pH 2.5





20

100

1)  $HNO_3$  from  $Fe(NO_3)_3.9H_2O$ reducing pH to PZC of Cotton

 $Fe(NO_3)_3.9H_2O \rightarrow Fe(OH)(NO_3)_2.H_2O + 7H_2O(g) + HNO_3$ 

During fabric/Fe drying at 60  $\sim$  70 °C (HNO<sub>3</sub> boiling point: 88 °C)

 $Fe(OH)(NO_3)_2.H_2O \rightarrow Fe(OH)_3 + 2HNO_3$ 

During fabric/Fe pouring into the dye solution







# Color removal mechanism – theory (2)

2) Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub> forming (+) aquocomplex agglomerating (-) dyes

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{Fe}^{3+} + 6\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \longrightarrow [\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O})_6]^{3+} \\ & [\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O})_6]^{3+} + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \leftrightarrows [\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O})_5(\operatorname{OH})]^{2+} + \operatorname{H}_3\operatorname{O}^+ \\ & [\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O})_5(\operatorname{OH})]^{2+} + 6\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \leftrightarrows [\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O})_4(\operatorname{OH})_2]^{1+} + \operatorname{H}_3\operatorname{O}^+ \end{split}$$



Leading With Innovation



$Fe(OH)]^{2+}$	Bi-nuclear ions
Fe(OH)] <sup>+</sup>	$[Fe_2(H_2O)_8(OH)_2]^{4+}$
$Fe(OH)_3]^0$	$[Fe_2(OH]_2)^{4+}$
Fe(OH) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	

(adapted from Lima, Abreu, 2018 and Bratby, 2006)

# **Color removal mechanism - theory**



### SEM images from Powder in Solution after adsorption







# **MAC from Dye adsorbed fabrics – Surface Pore Area (S<sub>BET</sub>)**



Select only Fe7 2 w/o Dye and 2 wDye For more detailed properties

 Average  $S_{BET}(m^2 g^{-1})$ All: 422 ±88 w/oDye: 361 ±80 wDye: 483 ±40

#### **MAC – 4 selected Properties**





## Conclusion

#### ADVANTEGES:

- Applicable in a wide pH range 3-12.
   Different from others that often requires acidic condition
- Easy separation of the adsorbent AFTER use As they are in fabric form, not in Powder
- Specific for negative charged pollutants
- Spent adsorbent and organic pollutants are destroyed during pyrolysis
- Fe component become metallic Fe instead of FexOy, with higher magnetization capacity as well as higher conductivity for eletrocatalysis applications
- New Income for the recycling agents in developing countries as Brazil.







28

#### **TEAM**

# *ITIGO ITIE* 一切一会 OreMonert OreMeeting



Beatriz Mianni UnderGrad



Maria Vitória UnderGrad



Academic Supervisor Prof Dr Wagner Carvalho



(Former) Industrial Supervisor Dr. Akihiko Iwata

Rigaku Leading With Innovation



Industrial Supervisor Pol de Pape







Sustainable Technology Nucleus (NuTS) Catalysis and Organic Sysnthesys Group (GCaso)



### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico













Jenny Sayaka Komatsu UFABC – Campus Santo André jenny.komatsu@gmail.com







Sustainable Technologies Nucleus



#### **Related ODS**



#### Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all

Target 8.2: Achieve higher levels of economic productivity through diversification, technological upgrading and innovation, including through a **focus on high-value added and labour-intensive sectors** 



# Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation

Target: 9.2 Promote inclusive and **sustainable industrialization** 9.5 Enhance scientific research, upgrade the technological capabilities of industrial sectors in all countries, in particular developing countries, including, by 2030, encouraging innovation and substantially **increasing the number of research and development workers** per 1 million people and public and private research and development spending



## **Related ODS**



#### Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable

Target: 11.6 Reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, including by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management.

#### Indicator:

#### 11.6.1

Proportion of urban solid waste regularly collected and with adequate final discharge out of total urban solid waste generated, by cities

#### 11.6.2

Annual mean levels of fine particulate matter (e.g. PM2.5 and PM10) in cities (population weighted)



#### **Related ODS**



#### **Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns**

12.5 By 2030, substantially **reduce waste generation** through prevention, reduction, **recycling and reuse** 



# Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts\*

13.3 **Improve** education, awareness-raising and human and **institutional capacity on climate change mitigation**, adaptation, impact reduction and early warning



# Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development

14.1 By 2025, prevent and significantly reduce marine pollution of all kinds, in particular **from land-based activities**, including marine debris and **nutrient pollution** 

### **Reactive Black Dye RB5, chemical structure**



Reactive Black Dye 5: C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>5</sub>Na<sub>4</sub>O<sub>19</sub>S<sub>6</sub> FW:991.816116

Open structure: 3.3 nm x 1.2 nm

**Figure S2.** (a) Structural formula of RB5 and pKa values of each acidic group (b) optimized three-dimensional structural formula of RB5, by ACD/ChemSketch software







# Iron hydroxide complex

ົບ UFABC



Figure. UV-visible absorption spectra of Fe(III) complexes in aqueous solutions. Fe 3+ corresponds to the hexa-aquo complex (ferric ion),

Ref.: Loures, Carla C.A. et al. International Review of Chemical Engineering (I.RE.CH.E.), Vol. 5, N. 2

Leading With Innovation

Rigaku

#### Estabilidade térmica do carvão, sob O<sub>2</sub>

(apresentação DAI 2018)



Umidade: 0,48% volatéis: 62,84% Cinzas: 36,68% Comparando com carvão sem Fe, diferença de cinzas: +32,9%. Se tudo Fe2O3, então carvão possui 23% Fe (pelo XRF: 12,8%) Máxima temperatura para uso: 400°C (antes da degradação) Temperatura de auto-ignição: 556°C (sem Fe: 468°C)



# AC activated by ZnCl<sub>2</sub> x Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

Activating Agent	Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> 9H <sub>2</sub> O		ZnCl <sub>2</sub>		
Nomenclature	C_FWC +Fe(0.07)	C_FWC +Fe(0.07)+BL2h	C_FWC +Zn(0.5)	C_FWC +Zn(1)	
BET area (m <sup>2</sup> .g <sup>-1</sup> )	183	417	1,543	1,404	
Total Pore Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> .g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.162	0.287	0.674	0.814	
Micropore Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> .g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.040	0.158	0.559	0.504	
Mesopore Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> .g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.122	0.129	0.115	0.310	
% Micropore	43 %	55%	83 %	62 %	
Hardness / Visual Aspect	Soft and malleable fabric AC. Easy to handle, as a fabric. Possible to cut with scissors, although being very fragile, turning to powder very easily.		Very Hard. -Not possible to handle as fabricKeeps little fiber aspect -Require maceration to make handling possible.	Do not keep fiber aspect, which is completely destroyed	
Other observation	Magnetic specie: Fe <sub>3</sub> C Ms: 7.2 emu.g <sup>-1</sup>	Magnetic specie: Fe0 Dye solution prepared only by dissolving in distilled water.	Mass loss during handling, due to its hardne and low density High mass loss due to repeated cycles of acic water washing/filtering		

Leading With Innovation

Rigaku

Q

SUFABC

#### SEM – C\_F+Fe(11)+BL



#### **Fe quantification** - ICP x WDXRF

	Sample	AC_Fe0.07	AC_Fe0.14	AC_Fe0.07+Dy e	AC_Fe0.14+D ye
Figure 33	Theoretical	18.4	27.4	< 14.2	< 24.8
WDXRF UILFACATTY® X ICP	ICP	36.4	74.4	33.1	61.5
	WDXRF Solid Phase	11.7	n.a.	12.7	n.a.
	WDXRF Liquid Cell	17.7	27.0	14.7	31.0
0 5 10 15 20 25 Ultracarry (Fe%)	WDXRF UltraCarry®	11.1	22.6	10.4	20.5
	SEM-EDS	3.0	4.3	3.2	12.0
	XPS	2.9	n.a.	1.47	n.a.

n.a.: not analyzed



#### **MAC WhiteCotton.Fe7 – Magnetic properties**





# MAC – XPS difference between $Fe_3O_4$ and $\alpha Fe$ MAC



42

#### **TG under N<sub>2</sub> for Polyester/Elastane fabric**



43



# Polyester mixed fabrics – adsorption capacity comparision



**Experimental Conditions:** 

50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> synthetic Dye (NaCl pH~10)

1g Fabric to 100 mL solution

40 °C, 2h

#### Fabrics:

Polyester Poyester/Cotton 50% Cotton Polyester/Elastane 3%

White and Red Fe: 0.07 g  $g^{-1}_{Fabric}$  and 0.014g  $g^{-1}_{Fabric}$ 



#### **Elastane fiber**

(A) (B) Elastane core soft rubbery segment rigid segment Yarn wrap  $\begin{array}{c} & \circ \\ -\overset{}{\operatorname{C}} - \overset{}{\operatorname{N}} - \overset{}{\operatorname{N}} - \overset{}{\operatorname{C}} - \overset{}{\operatorname{N}} - \overset{}{\operatorname{C}} - \overset{}{\operatorname{N}} - \overset{}{\operatorname{H}} \\ & \overset{}{\operatorname{H}} \overset{}{\operatorname{H}} \overset{}{\operatorname{H}} \end{array}$ O −N−C ⊢H 0 -CH,-। Н (C) x = about 40 or so-H bond Spandex has a complicated structure, with both Silicone oil coated Elastane fiber urea and urethane linkages in the backbone chain. ÇH<sub>3</sub> CH<sub>3</sub> -OH HO-CH3 ĊH3 ĊНз 'n





Η

-CH, -

# **Polyester mixed fabrics – adsorption capacity comparision**

■TOC@Fe7 ■Fe7 NFe14 100% 80% Removal 60% 40% 20% 0% W R R W R W R W Poly Cotton Poly/Elast Poly/Cot

**Experimental Conditions:** 

50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> synthetic Dye (NaCl pH~10)

1g Fabric to 100 mL solution

40 °C, 2h

#### Fabrics:

Polyester Poyester/Cotton 50% Cotton Polyester/Elastane 3%

White and Red Fe: 0.07 g  $g^{-1}_{Fabric}$  and 0.014g  $g^{-1}_{Fabric}$ 



## Fabric Pictures – before and after adsorption



**SupFig 2.** WCotton pictures before (let) and after (rigth) dye adsorption (A) Fe007 in pH10, (B) Fe014 in pH10, (C) Pure Cotton in pH 2.5

