Mediating effect of corporate environmental responsibility on the relationship between external supervision and pro-environmental behaviour: evidence from renovation sector

S.W. Yu¹ and J.L. Hao^{1*}

¹ Department of Civil Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, 215123, PR China Keywords: pro-environmental behaviour, corporate environmental responsibility, public supervision, media supervision, governmental supervision, environmental regulation. Corresponding author email: jianli.hao@xjtlu.edu.cn Presenting author email: shiwang.yu19@student.exjtlu.edu.cn

The renovation projects experienced vigorous growth due to the fast urbanization and city renewal. An increasing number of waste has been generated within renovation activities and throw huge environmental and social hazards accordingly (Hao et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). Promoting individual pro-environmental behavior (PEB) and corporate environmental responsibility (CER) can help to address the renovation waste problems. Incorporating PEB initiatives in an organization is identified as a strategic tool for realizing CER and achieving sustainable performance (Suganthi, 2019; Yang & Gao, 2021). The previous studies revealed the impact of external supervision on corporate environmental responsibility, namely public supervision, media supervision, governmental supervision and environmental regulations(Graafland, 2019; Wan et al., 2021). However, little research has been done to explore the influence of external supervisions on PEB and the role of CER on the relationship between them. This empirical study aims to examine the impact of external supervisions on the individual PEB and mediating effect of CER.

After a review of the literature, nine hypotheses have been proposed and tested with CER as a mediating variable. All the hypotheses are summarized in Fig. 1.



Figure 1. Theoretical framework

In support of the proposed hypotheses, a questionnaire including the respondents' demographic information and their perception about the variables of the hypotheses was designed and distributed to the employees in renovation companies in Jiangsu Province, China. Among the valid survey respondents (n=148), 37.43% were renovation workers, 24.56% were renovation designers, 25.15% were technical and management staff, and 12.87% were others, meaning a good representative sample.

SmartPLS 3.3.2 was employed for the data analysis. The partial least squares technique was used for the data assessment and prediction of the results based on the hypothesis testing for a range of determinants. Compose reliability analysis of determinants showed that all items gave a value of 0.8, representing an acceptable level of reliability of each determinant. The discriminant validity for the measurement model also confirms the reliability of the variables (see Table 1.).

| Table 1. The | discriminant va | lidity (Fornel | 1-Larcker criterion) | for the measurement model |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 14010 1. 1110 | and and and and and a set | many (1 ormer | I Darener enterioni | for the measurement model |

|--|

| PEB | 0.873 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| CER | 0.773 | 0.824 | | | | |
| Public supervision | 0.722 | 0.729 | 0.888 | | | |
| Media supervision | 0.689 | 0.676 | 0.693 | 0.871 | | |
| Governmental supervision | 0.65 | 0.662 | 0.598 | 0.638 | 0.86 | |
| Regulation | 0.717 | 0.661 | 0.619 | 0.651 | 0.703 | 0.876 |

Note: diagonals (in bold) represent square roots of average variance extracted, while the other entries represent the squared correlations.

Nine hypotheses were tested via structural equation modelling and the results shows that all the hypotheses were supported except H2a and H3a which can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. T-values and path analysis of structural model

| Hypotheses | Hypotheses paths | Standardized coefficient | T-value | Results |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Hla | PS →PEB | 0.201 | 2.857** | Supported |
| H1b | PS →CER | 0.385 | 6.178*** | Supported |
| H2a | $MS \rightarrow PEB$ | 0.121 | 1.709 | Rejected |
| H2b | $MS \rightarrow CER$ | 0.168 | 2.283^{*} | Supported |
| H3a | $GS \rightarrow PEB$ | 0.045 | 0.610 | Rejected |
| H3b | $GS \rightarrow CER$ | 0.207 | 2.850^{**} | Supported |
| H4a | $ER \rightarrow PEB$ | 0.251 | 3.199** | Supported |
| H4b | $ER \rightarrow CER$ | 0.168 | 2.626** | Supported |
| H5 | CER \rightarrow PEB | 0.349 | 4.621*** | Supported |

Note: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; PS-public supervision; MS-media supervision; GS-governmental supervision; ER-environmental regulation

The mediating effect of CER on the relationship between external supervision and PEB was also examined. The result shows that CER mediates the impact of public supervision, media supervision and governmental supervision on PEB. CER do not mediate the impact of environmental regulation on PEB. The result can be found in Table 3.

| Table 3. Effect size | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|-----------|--|
| CER as Mediator | Direct effect | Indirect effect | Total effect | VAF | Effect | Results | |
| PS→CER→PEB | 0.201 | 0.134 | 0.335 | 40.065% | Partial mediation | Supported | |
| MS→CER→PEB | 0.121 | 0.059 | 0.180 | 32.640% | Partial mediation | Supported | |
| GS→CER→PEB | 0.045 | 0.072 | 0.117 | 61.618% | Partial mediation | Supported | |
| ER→CER→PEB | 0.251 | 0.059 | 0.310 | 18.936% | Non-mediation | Rejected | |

The findings reveals the important role of CER on individual PEB. The possible contribution of the research findings to the literature or the design of policy interventions that consider external supervision to CER and PEB, and future research directions are discussed.

Reference

- Graafland, J. (2019). Economic freedom and corporate environmental responsibility: The role of small government and freedom from government regulation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 218, 250–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.308
- Hao, J. L., Cheng, B., Lu, W., Xu, J., Wang, J., Bu, W., & Guo, Z. (2020). Carbon emission reduction in prefabrication construction during materialization stage: A BIM-based life-cycle assessment approach. *Science of The Total Environment*, 137870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137870
- Suganthi, L. (2019). Examining the relationship between corporate social responsibility, performance, employees' pro-environmental behavior at work with green practices as mediator. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 232, 739–750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.295
- Sun, P., Zhang, N., Zuo, J., Mao, R., Gao, X., & Duan, H. (2020). Characterizing the generation and flows of building interior decoration and renovation waste: A case study in Shenzhen City. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 121077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121077
- Wan, L., Wang, C., Wang, S., Zang, J., & Li, J. (2021). How can government environmental enforcement and corporate environmental responsibility consensus reduce environmental emergencies? *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-021-00916-z
- Yang, F., & Gao, L. (2021). Corporate environmental responsibility and employees' pro-environmental behaviors at work: Insights from organizational identification and workplace spirituality perspective. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2021.1989673