

Assessing the leachate toxicity of materials based on sediments in road sector

Université de Lille I-SITE UNIVERSITÉ LILLE NORD-EUROPE

Mohammad ALMOKDAD

Prof.Rachid ZENTAR

CORFU 2022 9th International Conference On **Sustainable** Solid Waste Management



1

INTRODUCTION & CONTEXT

METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

CONCLUSIONS & PERSPECTIVE













-2.2 million ton of aggregates as a ^{8.9} Mt difference between imports and exports in 11,1 Mt 2018 (UNICEM, 2020)*







Alternative Material

That provide a technical substitute for virgin aggregates







Classification

CORFU

2000

Sediments are considered as waste once it is removed from its natural environment (Directive 2008/98/EC)

Valorization

Regulatory Policies



Order that sets out the criteria for the removal of waste status for excavated soil and sediments that have been prepared for use in civil engineering or development

* Hayet et al,, 2017, Impacts écologiques de sédiments pollués extraits et déposés en milieux terrestres. Etat des connaissances et évaluation des risques pour les écosystèmes

Level 2

SETRA 2011

Environmental acceptability criteria for any alternative material to be used as a road material in France.



Level 3

Performing Specific study

zist

Covered embankments / underlaying layers

Cumulative released quantity from the up-flow percolation test

Level 1

All Road Application

leaching released quantity Trace elements (As ,Ba ,Cd ,Cr ,Cu ,Hg ,Mo ,Ni , Pb ,Sb ,Se, Zn), salts, soluble fraction , and total content of organic pollutants





Recent Studies

Relating and validating pollutants elements leaching values with their assigned thresholds in the road material matrix. (environmental acceptability of the treatment method).

Previous studies

(Tribout et al. 2011)* that have addressed the toxicity of (Hg, Cd, As, Pb, Ni, Cu, Cr, and Zn) from dredged sediments, by using METOX index, developed by French water agencies, and used for the calculation of pollution fees with respect to the French environmental

CORFU

0000



Dredged sediments pollutants : agrichemical, urban, industrial, and atmospheric.

Using life cycle assessment characterization models, leaching emissions are translated into sustainability indicators for decision-makers.







Case study

Based on the previous study (Achour et al.2014) Dunkirk dredged sediments in one of the most importing dredging sites in France* ,have been granularly corrected with dredged sand, and then treated by using the solidification/stabilization (S/S) technique.



L. Palumbo, "Sediment Management of Nations in Europe," in Sustainable Management of Sediment Resources, vol. 2, G. Bortone and L. Palumbo, Eds. Elsevier, 2007, pp. 11–58. doi: 10.1016/S1872-1990(07)80014-6.
 R. Achour et al, "Valorization of unauthorized sea disposal dredged sediments as a road foundation material," Environ. Technol., vol. 35, no. 16, Art. no. 16, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1080/09593330.2014.889758.



Functional unit and system boundaries

Due to leaching importance in influencing the total toxicity , only leaching impacts on human toxicity and freshwater toxicity are included and calculated using USEtox model

The functional unit is the unit that allows us to compare different product systems with respect to their provided performance. Then both materials were assessed with a functional unit of 1-ton usage of road material based on dredged sediment with different management possibilities.

| | Material | Application | I-CBR | Required I-CBR* | Long Term Mechanical classification (Elasticity and Direct Tensile strength) | | |
|--|--|--------------------|-------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| | Dredged Sediments | Backfill | 30 | 5 | No requirements | | |
| | Treated dredged sediments Mix (with sand, cement , and lime) | Road Foundation | 70 | 35 | Class T3- Suitable for Foundation | | |

CORFU

- Réalisation des remblais et des couches de forme (GTR) Fascicule 1 principes généraux, " Cerema, 2000
- Assises de chaussées en graves non traitées et matériaux traités aux liants hydrauliques et pouzzolaniques." 1998
- NF EN 14227-5 August 2013 Hydraulically bound mixtures Specifications Part 5 : hydraulic road binder bound granular mixtures

Leaching data

SETRA leaching thresholds where based on environmental modeling for the pollutants' fate with respect to several conditions*. In this study, the leaching behavior of the material was based on SETRA environmental modeling for assessing the acceptability of raw and treated dredged sediments.
 Table 2 Pollutants leaching
 data for raw and treated dredged sediments with their

 relative
 SETRA thresholds*

| | Cumulative amount leached $L/S = 10 l/kg$ (leaching test EN 12 457-4 and NF 12-457-2) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | - | Treated Sediments at different days | | | | | SETRA Thresholds | | | | |
| Elements | Raw Dredged | Crushed Samples | | | Monolithic Samples | | Required value of | For Use in | | | |
| | Seuments | 28 | 60 (Onsite) | 90 | 28 | 90 | the samples (mg/kg dry matter) | Road | | | |
| As | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 0.2 | < 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 2 | | | |
| Ba | 1.43 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 1.08 | 1.1 | 60 | 100 | | | |
| Cd | 0.04 | <0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | < 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 1 | | | |
| Cr | 0.1 | 0.1 | <0.12 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 10 | | | |
| Cu | 0.57 | 1.6 | 1.82 | 0.9 | < 0.1 | 0.12 | 6 | 50 | | | |
| Hg | < 0.05 | P | | - | | - | 0.03 | 0.2 | | | |
| Мо | 0.76 | 0.2 | 0.18 | 0.2 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 1.5 | 10 | | | |
| Ni | <0.1 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.16 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 1.2 | 10 | | | |
| Pb | <0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 1.5 | 10 | | | |
| Sb | 0.16 | <0.06 | < 0.06 | < 0.06 | < 0.06 | < 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.7 | | | |
| Se | 0.01 | 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | | | |
| Zn | 0.34 | 0.1 | <0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 12 | 50 | | | |

Acceptability of alternative materials in road construction," 2011

• R. Achour et al, "Valorization of unauthorized sea disposal dredged sediments as a road foundation material," Environ. Technol., vol. 35, no. 16, Art. no. 16, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1080/09593330.2014.889758



METHODOLOGY

Characterization & Damage

As a part of the life cycle assessment method, USEtox's final results provide an impact score which represents a comparative unit between different systems at 2 levels

Midpoint Level

Serving characterization impacts for human health and freshwater ecotoxicity. **Endpoint Level**

and ecosystem.

Transforming toxicity into damages to human health

Inventory Flows

Cases for human health cancer and non-cancer toxicity categories

Disability-adjusted life year (DALY) for the damage endpoint results affecting human health



Potentially disappeared fraction of species over exposed volume and time (PDF). m3.day for ecosystems

Potentially affected fraction of species integrated over exposed volume & time (PAF).m3.day





METHODOLOGY



Pollutants leaching scheme

In the USEtox model, the soil compartment is not relevant for the soil under road structure, then in this study, the leaching impacts were considered directly affecting freshwater despite of the environmental fate modeling used in USEtox for the soil compartment. Assuming that the leachate impacts of the material used will be as a toxicity threat for freshwater in the long-term scenario.







RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Leachate potential Impacts



For all toxicity categories: the toxicity of treated monolithic material for the use in road foundation at different ages were lower than that of the raw material for the use as a backfill scenario.

For crushed treated samples the results of freshwater toxicity were higher than the values of raw material impacts in this category.



For human cancer and non-cancer categories: After 90 days of curing, the treated material showed higher values than those found in the raw material (around 160%). For material crushed at 60 days (on-site) the values were slightly less than the raw material toxicity impacts.



Relative characterization toxicity with respect to raw sediments



RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Leachate potential Impacts



0000

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Leachate thresholds and regulations



Defining thresholds is the common act of governmental regulations.



Industrial sector gives the economical point of view its priority in its applications



Environmentally acceptable solution that meets their economical view



Feasible Solutions





By providing in parallel certain thresholds with respect to the sustainable development goals , relying on the average natural material application impacts. Indirectly provide a more sustainable way for waste valorization by their commitment to the laws





CONCLUSIONS & PERSPECTIVE



CONCLUSIONS & PERSPECTIVE



For crushed samples; human cancer and non-cancer toxicity where curing time dependable



Leachate of monolithic treated dredged sediments for the use as a road foundation is less harmful to human health and ecosystem than using raw dredged sediments for the use as backfill



Impacts on freshwater ecotoxicity or ecosystem damages are higher upon leachate of the crushed treated dredged sediments material more than in the case of using raw sediments



leachate toxicity modeling can serve as primary comparative screening for waste valorization in road ,but will have to be linked to the complete life cycle processes.

PERSPECTIVE

Pilot study that takes real site conditions modeling into account (Pollutants' transfer modeling and liquid to solid ratio effect)



"Conservation is a state of harmony between men and land." Aldo Leopold

....

Thank You For Your Attention!!

mohammad.almokdad@imt-nord-europe.fr rachid.zentar@imt-nord-europe.fr











