# Linear Multi-Objective Modelling for Comprehensive Regional Agricultural Schemes in Crete Island, Greece

Amina Abdel Reheem\*, Silvena Boteva\*\*, Nese Yilmaz\*\*\*, Mohamed Elhag \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*Department of Cancer Biology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, Giza, 12613, Egypt.

\*\*Department of Ecology and Environmental Protection, Faculty of Biology, Sofia University "St. Kl. Ohridski", 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria.

\*\*\*Department of Freshwater Resources and Management, Faculty of Aquatic Sciences, Istanbul University, 34134 Laleli, Istanbul, Turkey.

\*\*\*\*Department of Hydrology and Water Resources Management, Faculty of Meteorology, Environment & Arid Land Agriculture, King Abdulaziz Univ Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

\*\*\*\*\*Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI), Chinese Academy of Science (CAS), Beijing 100094, China.

\*\*\*\*\*\*Department of Applied Geosciences, Faculty of Science, German University of Technology in Oman, Muscat 1816, Oman,

\* Corresponding e-mail: melhag@kau.edu.sa

#### Introduction

Agricultural development is undertaken to satisfy a number of socio-economic objectives, considering scarce agricultural resources. These objectives include

maximizing business profitability, employment stability and increasing production, and others such as environmental stability [1]. The efficient use of these resources and the ability to evaluate the possible impacts of different agricultural policies are important to those responsible for policy in the agricultural sector [2]. A feasible development plan should consider all regional objectives, although these usually conflict, and the determination of policies to handle such problems is complex. Multiple objective mathematical programming models is one way of considering multiple objectives explicitly and simultaneously in a mathematical programming framework [3]. In this study, a multi-objective linear programming model was formulated whose general objective was to analyze the structure of the main agricultural regions in Crete Island.

#### Material and Methods

**Study area description.** The main occupation of the inhabitants of Crete Island is agriculture; 70% of the inhabitants are farmers; basic farming production in Crete Island - olives and vineyards [4].



**Designated Cooperative and Resources** The PEZA Cooperative: started with 700 farm families; established in 1933 and today is an industrial group with modern equipment and technique that deals with 85% of the agricultural products of the 3,000 families in the cooperative, marketing them domestically and internationally.

**Methodological Framework** The model was designed for a typical agricultural year on Crete Island after de Almeida et al. [5]. To implement the model, four threemonth periods are considered in the agricultural year, period 1: September - November; period 2: December February; period 3: March - May and period 4: June August.



Figure 2. Schematic flowchart of the adopted methodology. The tool used for this was the ADELAIS multi-objective linear

Figure 1. Location of the study farming area.

Table 1. Upper and lower limits, compromise values and satisfaction levels for the five objectives determined in the final iteration.

	Seasonal labor	Employment	Gross margin	Machinery hours	Forage production
Upper bound	28852.190	77712.520	994.703	46376.000	12159.680
Compromise	52439.730	70026.945	898.745	54817.902	6894.226
Satisfaction level	64233.500	59372.000	861.671	59038.871	6656.995
Lower bound	134996.094	59372.000	715.096	59038.871	2625.000
Rate of closeness to the ideal value	77.8%	58.1%	65.7%	33.3%	44.8%

Compromises between the five objectives provided by the ADELAIS system guarantee a significant level of gross margin and employment with acceptable values for machinery hours and forage production, shown by the rates of closeness to the ideal values.

Table 2.Tree crop areas<br/>proposed by the model<br/>compared with current useTable 3.Area of forage<br/>selected by the model (ha).

## Results and Discussion



Figure 3. Employment achievement in each period determined in the final iteration. As the difference between total employment by period and the mean value is ideally null, only in the final period (Jun-Aug) is there over-achievement of employment, whereas in the other periods, the goals are achieved, because the harvest of both almonds and pistachios occurs in this period [6].

Conclusions

 $\checkmark$  The system furnishes the decision making with information for a better

programming software, a system that fits the requirements since it guarantees a compromise between conflicting objectives.



Figure 4. Possible cash surplus in each period generated by the model. Only in Period 3 (March-May), is there no surplus of capital since many activities occur.

na	
	~/-

Tree	s Current	Suggested	Forage	Current	Suggested
Oliv	e 3784	3784	Lucern	75	105
Almon	d 1088	1088	Vetch + Oats	191	127
Apricot	* 52	0	Sorghum	100	0
Pistachi	o 35	185	Green Barley	30	6
Apple	* 56	0	Orech Dariey	59	0
Almond + Oliv	e 2384	2384			
Pomegranate	* 12	0			
Table Olive	* 100	133			
Oil Olive	* 153	250			
ated					

The elimination of apple trees was an unexpected result which occurred because this trees has been introduced recently and is not yet in full production thus the model saw it as a high cost activity [7]. This is not the case for almond and unirrigated olive trees, whose acreages should be maintained because of the restricted amount of land made available by the DM [7]. The area of land allocated to lucern, vetch and oats should be increased and this increase must come by decreasing green barley, essentially because of the scarcity of land allocated for forage [8].

- understanding of the decision making process; in this case, the application shows that:
  - Employment and business profitability are almost complementary; the high level of employment gives the maximum gross margin and vice versa;
- Maximum employment is compatible only with increased seasonal labor and machinery-hours;
- Minimum use of machinery hours can achieve only a low level of forage production and gross margin.
- ✓ The interactive multiple objective programming technique can help to decide feasible development policies within given socio-economic conditions.
- A policy of minimum seasonal employment is possible only at a high cost of added value and at a low level of table grape production.

### References

- 1. Epstein and Roy, (2003) Journal of General Management, 29: 15-31.
- 2. Milder et al., (2010) Ecology and Society, 15.
- 4. Karamesouti et al., (2015) Catena, 132: 45-55.
- 5. de Almeida, et al., (2015) IMA Journal of Management Mathematics, 26: 249-271.
- 6. Lewis and Randall, (2017) Journal of environmental management, 204: 179-188.
- 7. Raseman et al., (2019) Environmental modelling & software, 116: 153-163.
- 8. Groot et al., (2018) Landscape and Urban Planning, 172: 29-36.