

Life-Cycle Assessment of Levan Nanoparticles Preparation

Marta Domżał-Kędzia¹, Agnieszka Lewińska^{*1,2}, Robert Pluskota¹, Magdalena Muradin^{3,4}, Paulina Harazin³, Joanna Kulczycka³, Marcin Łukaszewicz^{*1}

¹InventionBio SA, Jakóba Hechlińskiego 4, 85-825 Bydgoszcz, Poland, marta.domzal@inventionbio.pl, agnieszka.lewinska@inventionbio.pl, robert.pluskota@inventionbio.pl,

²Faculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, Joliot-Curie 14, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland
agnieszka.lewinska@uwr.edu.pl

³The Department of Policy and Strategic Research, Mineral and Energy Economy Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland, muradin@meeri.pl, pharazin@meeri.pl, kulczycka@meeri.pl

⁴Institute of Management, Poznan University of Economics and Business, Poznan, Poland,
magdalena.muradin@ue.poznan.pl

⁵Department of Biotransformation, Faculty of Biotechnology, University of Wrocław, Joliot-Curie 14a, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland marcin.lukaszewicz@uwr.edu.pl

Keywords: life cycle assessment, levan, nanoparticles, *Bacillus subtilis*

Presenting author email: marta.domzal@inventionbio.pl, marta.domzal@uwr.edu.pl

Introduction

Biopolymers are compounds that attract a lot of interest from various industries. Biopolymers with similar properties to synthetic polymers are produced in fermentation processes carried out by various types of microorganisms. However, still a large proportion of production processes have an effect on the environment. In order to design a process with the lowest possible environmental impact, many different factors must be taken into account. Such processes are not only eco-friendly, but also often allow for optimization of production costs. One of the promising biopolymers is levan, synthesized by bacteria such as *Bacillus subtilis*, *Zymomonas mobilis* or *Halomonas smyrnensis* [Domżał-Kędzia et al. 2019, Silbir et al. 2014, Tohme et al. 2014]. Its properties make it ideal for use primarily in the cosmetics, food and pharmaceutical industries.

The process of obtaining levan nanoparticles was designed in two variants. Both were analyzed for their environmental impacts using LCA methodology.

Materials and methods

Levan nanoparticles were obtained according to two variants: from the precipitated polymer after fermentation with *Bacillus subtilis* KB1, which was redissolved (scenario II), or directly from the post-culture supernatant (scenario I).

The LCA is undertaken in SimaPro Developer v. 9.1.1.1 LCA software using Ecoinvent 3.3 inventory databases and ILCD Midpoint+ V1.11 / EC-JRC Global, equal weighting method. The LCA was performed based on a “cradle-to-gate” perspective, which means that only the production phase was considered. The function of the process is the synthesis of 220 l levan nanoparticles in the reactor 500 LSF, therefore the functional unit FU was used as a reference to quantify all inputs and outputs and is defined as 1 full cycle of the levan nanoparticles production which gives 1 portion of a product (220 l of an input to the autoclave reactor 500 LSF). Technological readiness was also determined according to Kaczmarska et al. (Kaczmarska et al. 2015) Preliminary assessment of production efficiency was carried out using methodology proposed by Kotliński and Golińska (2011). The Life-Cycle Assessment was performed according to the standard practice as defined by ISO 14040:2006. The inventory data of mass and energy flow are extracted from the experimental data of the levan production process. To identify all hot spots and environmental burdens of this process the following sixteen impact categories were estimated for both scenarios. The LCA was performed based on a “cradle-to-gate” perspective.



Fig.1. Bioreactor

Results and Discussion




Fig.2 Environmental impact of two different scenarios using ILCD method [Pt] in impact categories

Based on the analyses, it was determined that obtaining nanoparticles directly from the fermentation solution (scenario I), among others:

- has shorter production time of one batch; it is 61.9% shorter compared to the second scenario;
- time spent on preparatory and completion work and service works is shorter by 43.5% ;
- the ratio of time devoted to preparatory and finishing works to production time is almost 1.5 times greater for scenario I than for scenario II;
- removal of ethyl alcohol from the levan production cycle helps to reduce the environmental burden;
- the efficiency and effectiveness is significantly higher for the production of levan according to scenario I.

Bibliography

1. Domżał-Kędzia, M. et al. (2019) 'Fermentation parameters and conditions affecting levan production and its potential applications in cosmetics', *Bioorganic Chemistry*. Elsevier, (December 2018), pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.02.012.
2. Silbir S. et al. (2014) 'Levan production by *Zymomonas mobilis* in batch and continuous fermentation systems. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. Jan;99:454-61. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.031.
3. Souha Tohme et al. (2018) 'Halomonas smyrnensis as a cell factory for co-production of PHB and levan, *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*', Volume 118, Part A, pp. 1238-1246, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.06.197>.
4. B. Kaczmarek, J. Bochnia, W. Gierulski, *Innow. w Zarządzaniu i Inżynierii Prod.* (2015) 104–115.
5. A. Koliński, P. Golińska, *Analiza wydajności jako wstępna ocena efektywności produkcji - Studium przypadku*, Wydawnictwo Politechniki Poznańskiej, Poznań, 2011.

Acknowledgments

This project was partially supported by The National Center for Research and Development (NCBR) by POIR.01.01.01-00-0729/19.