# Advanced Thermochemical Conversion of Various Waste Feedstock with CCS for Hydrogen Production – A Life Cycle Assessment

<u>Suviti Chari</u>, Alex Sebastiani, Andrea Paulillo, Paola Lettieri, Massimiliano Materazzi University College London

Solid Waste Management, Chania 2023 21<sup>th</sup> June 2023





(1) BEIS. UK Hydrogen Strategy; 2021; Vol. 85



(1) IPCC. Mitigation of climate change Summary for policymakers; 2022



(1) Committee on Climate Change. The Sixth Carbon Budget The UK's path to Net Zero. 2020



## Understand the environmental value of $H_2$ with BECCS and the effect of

composition of waste on carbon sequestration potentials

- ✤ Feasibility of using Waste (MSW/Waste Wood) to produce grid-quality H<sub>2</sub> with Carbon capture and storage
- ✤ Identify environmental hotspots of industrially validated Bio-H<sub>2</sub> BECCS process
- Understand how changing biomass content of waste affects environmental impact results
- Compare with other alternative low-carbon hydrogen production technologies

### Process Overview: Demonstration Plant (Advanced Biofuels Solutions)

- Will convert 8,000 tonnes of waste per annum into 22 GWh of substitute natural gas (SNG).
- Will be first plant in the world to produce BioSNG from waste (RDF/WW)
- Work is ongoing to demonstrate production of  $\operatorname{Bio-H}_2$  for heat and transport.









### Process Overview: Waste-to-H<sub>2</sub> with CCS



- Detailed mass-and-energy balances using ASPEN Plus, validated by demo & pilot plant operation
- Modelled commercial facility converts 110,000 tonnes per annum of waste to approximately 50 MWh of fuel-cell quality hydrogen (99.9% purity)
- Atmospheric steam-oxygen gasification as core conversion technology (2 stage FBR & plasma tar reforming)
- Amine-based solvent CO<sub>2</sub> removal technology employed (at 90% removal efficiency, 99% CO<sub>2</sub> purity)
- CO<sub>2</sub> transported to one of UK's CCUS clusters and injected into a saline aquifer

## LCA Methodology: Assumptions

- Functional unit: 1 MW of fuel-cell quality H<sub>2</sub> produced
- System Boundary includes: waste transportation (50km), CO<sub>2</sub> transportation by lorry, ship & pipeline, CO<sub>2</sub> injection and fugitive H<sub>2</sub> emissions.

### Plant construction is also included in hotspot analysis.

- Zero-burden approach for waste. Counterfactual cases not considered
- Multifunctionality & substitution:
  - Electricity from tail gas (via gas engine) replaces UK grid mix
  - Recovery of metals from MSW for RDF preparation

EF 3.0 Method.

### Ecoinvent datasets

(1) Chari, S.; Sebastiani, A.; Paulillo, A.; Materazzi, M. The Environmental Performance of Mixed Plastic Waste Gasification with Carbon Capture and Storage to Produce Hydrogen in the U.K. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2022**.



### Complete system boundary: Waste-to-H<sub>2</sub> with CCS





### Complete system boundary: Blue-H<sub>2</sub> and Green-H<sub>2</sub>



### LCA Hotspot Results: MSW & Waste Wood – Climate Change Impact



(1) Amaya-Santos, G.; Chari, S.; Sebastiani, A.; Grimaldi, F.; Lettieri, P.; Materazzi, M. Biohydrogen: A Life Cycle Assessment and Comparison with Alternative Low-Carbon Production Routes in UK. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 319, 128886

### LCA Comparative analysis: Low-carbon H<sub>2</sub> production routes





(1) Amaya-Santos, G.; Chari, S.; Sebastiani, A.; Grimaldi, F.; Lettieri, P.; Materazzi, M. Biohydrogen: A Life Cycle Assessment and Comparison with Alternative Low-Carbon Production Routes in UK. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 319, 128886. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128886.

(2) Antonini, C.; Treyer, K.; Streb, A.; van der Spek, M.; Bauer, C.; Mazzotti, M. Hydrogen Production from Natural Gas and Biomethane with Carbon Capture and Storage - A Techno-Environmental Analysis. *Sustain. Energy Fuels* **2020**, *4* (6), 2967–2986. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0se00222d.

### LCA Comparative analysis: Low-carbon H<sub>2</sub> production routes







### LCA Comparative analysis: Low-carbon H<sub>2</sub> production routes



(1) Wang-Erlandsson, L., Tobian, A., van der Ent, R.J. et al. A planetary boundary for green water. Nat Rev Earth Environ 3, 380-392 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00287-8

- Pre-combustion CCS plays a crucial role for GHG mitigation  $\rightarrow$  carbon negative technology
- Boundary conditions reveal that biogenic content carries the greatest weight in climate change impact result.
  However, trade-offs exist (contributions to all other categories)
- BECCS capability may change based on waste composition feedstock
- For H<sub>2</sub> production, low-carbon technologies will likely complement not compete



### Acknowledgements







Suviti Chari suviti.chari.20@ucl.ac.uk

https://www.linkedin.com/in/suvitichari/

Bioenergy Technology Research Lab Department of Chemical Engineering, UCL

# Acknowledgements alos





### Appendix





### **Conventional System**

- Slipstream of hydrogen rich syngas taken after water gas shift reactor.
- Transferred to Xebec PSA which produces high purity hydrogen stream and hydrogen rich tail gas.
- Tail gas recycled into the process.
- Hydrogen metered into gas bottles for use in transport.

#### SEWGS System

- UCL to host SEWGS system at Manufacturing Futures Lab.
- Simulated syngas based on experience from Swindon plant will be injected into system.
- Absorber operated at 300-400C, 5 bar. Desorber operated at 600-700C, 1 bar.
- SEWGS offers significant advantages over conventional WGS coupled with carbon advantage. Far lower GHG emissions associated with process.

#### **Project Timelines**

 Installation through 2023, commissioning in H1 2024, operational in H2 2024.

### Appendix

## **BioH<sub>2</sub> purity for transportation**



Current Density (mA cm<sup>-2</sup>)

1000

- $H_2$  and oxidant air supplied to the polymer electrolyte fuel cell (PEFC)
- Contaminants CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fed through the H<sub>2</sub> supply to PEFC.

electrochemical ei innovation lab

- Considerable impact on PEFC performance ٠ observed only beyond 20% (vol.) CH<sub>4</sub>.
- PEFC performance recovered to normal after the removal of  $CH_4$  (pure-H<sub>2</sub> repeat case).
- CH₄ acts mainly as *diluent*.  $\checkmark$



- 0.5% CO<sub>2</sub> resulted in significant performance reduction (equivalent to 20%CH₄ dilution).
- PEFC performance not recovered after the removal of  $CO_2$  (pure-H<sub>2</sub> repeat case).
- CO<sub>2</sub> contamination results in *permanent* \* performance reduction due to chemical reaction.

### Changing Feedstock: Key Data

Key flows	Units	Biohydrogen (MSW)	Biohydrogen (Waste wood)	Blue Hydrogen SMR	Blue Hydrogen ATR	Green Hydrogen
I <u>nput</u>						
Feedstock type		MSW/RDF	Waste wood	Natural gas		Water
Feedstock	kg	442.2/283.6	372.2			226.8
	m <sup>3</sup>			116.4	117.6	
Oxygen	kg	89.4	101			n.a.
Electricity	MJ	514	617	27.7	115.7	4974
Thermal energy	MJ	1550	1657	-	-	n.a.
<u>Output</u>						
Hydrogen	MJ	3600	3600	3600		3600
Materials recovered	kg	17.1	-	-	-	-
CO <sub>2</sub> released	kg	46.5	53.5	120.63	38.1	0
Sequestered CO <sub>2</sub>	kg	414.4	484.1			n.a.

### Appendix



■ C (wt%) ■ H (wt%) ■ N (wt%) ■ S (wt%) ■ O (wt%) ■ CI (wt%)

3	Waste fra
	Paper and
84.4	Wood
	Metals
‰) ■ H (wt%) ■ N (wt%) ‰) ■ O (wt%) ■ CI (wt%)	Glass
27% HDPE, 27% LDPE	Textile
	WEEE
	Plastics

Waste fractions [wt% as received]	MSW
Paper and cardboard	22.7
Wood	3.7
Metals	4.3
Class	6.6
Glass	0.0
Textile	2.8
WEEE	2.2
Plastics	10
Inert/aggregates/solid	5.3
<i>.</i>	
Organic fines	35.5
Miscellaneous	7.1

### **Process Environmental Performance**



- Biogenic Carbon 65%
- $\rightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub> purity >99.97%, P=46 bar
- $\blacktriangleright$  CO<sub>2</sub> purity >95%, P=35 bar

\* MEA: Monoehanolamine solution (30% wt)

\*\* SEWGS: Sorption enhanced water gas shift

abs

## **Demo-plant for BioSNG/BioH<sub>2</sub> production**



## **Demo-plant for BioSNG/BioH<sub>2</sub> production (flowsheet)**

abs



## **Feasibility for BioH<sub>2</sub> from waste feedstock**



Stream	Mass flow [kg/h]	Energy [MW <sub>ннv</sub> ]	H <sub>2</sub> purity
RDF input	361.5	1.66	
BioH₂ from PSA	25.5	1	>99.97%
Tail gas from PSA	488.6	0.32	~14%

- Absence of acid contaminants and impurities after the gas cleaning stage;
- Increased H<sub>2</sub> fraction after the WGS stage upto 56% dry; CO reduction to 6% dry
- $CO_2$  removal efficiency > 99% with the PSA
- Approximately 40% H<sub>2</sub> is lost with tail gas

absl

## **Process optimization: tail gas recirculation**



**UC** 

# **Hotspot Analysis**

- Credits for net electricity production from grid-quality hydrogen produced from gas engine
- Credits from ferrous & non-ferrous metal recovery during MSW preparation
- Burdens for reforming process, CO<sub>2</sub>
  liquefaction and H<sub>2</sub> compression to climate change and photochemical ozone formation
- Burdens for gas cleaning process, primarily alkali scrubber, to most categories

