Production of value added olefins from catalytic pyrolysis of waste plastic straw

Hyunjin Kim, Young-kwon Park*, Hyoseuk Yim, Seungjae Lee, Sangchul Lee, Yong Jun Choi, and Myung Won Seo

Department of Environmental Engineering, University of Seoul, Seoul, 02504, Republic of Korea (E-mail: catalica@uos.ac.kr)

Introduction

This study explores sustainable solutions for recycling plastic waste, focusing on polypropylene commonly used in plastic straw production. Pyrolysis under anaerobic conditions is used to decompose waste plastic straws (WPS), generating BTEXs oil and gases with high olefin selectivity, while reducing carbon dioxide emissions. Two-stage catalytic pyrolysis (TSCP) is compared with one-stage ex-situ catalytic pyrolysis (ESCP) by adding alumina catalyst in-situ to the primary stage. The study shows potential for establishing a circular economy, generating a



source for plastic production, and reducing carbon dioxide emissions.

Results & Discussion

- 5 °C/min

10 °C/min

Figure 1: The fixed-bed pyrolysis reactor (a) The reactor, (b) ESCP, (c) ISCP, and (d) TSCP

> Characterization of feedstock Table 1: The results of the proximate analysis and the elemental analysis of WPS

Sample	WPS		
Proximate analysis (wt. %)	Moisture	0.0	
	Volatile	99.7	
	Fixed Carbon	0.0	
	Ash	0.3	
	Sum	100	
Elemental analysis ^a (wt. %)	С	85.7	
	Н	14.1	
	Ο	0.0	
	Ν	0.2	
	S	0.0	
	Sum	100	
^a Dry and ash-free basis.			
1.0	5 °C/min 10 °C/min	0.04	



Figure 3: NH₃-TPD results of catalysts

> Fixed-bed pyrolysis results





Figure 2: Non-catalytic TG curve and DTG curve (a) TG curve, and (b) DTG curve

> Characterization of catalysts

0.8

Table 2: Physical properties of ZSM-5 catalysts

Ex-situ Materials	$\frac{S_{BET}{}^a}{m^2g^{-1}}$	V_{total}^{a} cm ³ g ⁻¹	$V_{micro}{}^{b}$ cm ³ g ⁻¹
ZSM-5(30)	422	0.33	0.17
ZSM-5(80)	468	0.30	0.21
ZSM-5(280)	390	0.21	0.18

^a Calculated via BET method.

^bCalculated via the t-plot method.

Table 3: Physical properties of alumina catalysts

Figure 4: Pyrolysis products yield and the selectivity of gas components of ESCP (a) ESCP products yield, and (b) ESCP gas components selectivity



Figure 5: Pyrolysis products yield and the selectivity of gas components of ISCP (a) ISCP products yield, and (b) ISCP gas components selectivity



In-situ Materials	$SiO_2/Al_2O_3^a$	$\frac{S_{BET}}{m^2g^{-1}}$	$V_{total}{}^{b}$ cm ³ g ⁻¹	V _{meso} ^c cm ³ g ⁻¹	d _{mean} b nm		
Silica-Alumina	5.6	549	0.77	0.76	5.63		
Al-SBA-15	8.5	334	0.66	0.64	7.87		
Al-MCM-41	28.6	943	0.87	0.80	3.67		
^a Ratio calculated from XRF results.							
^b Calculated via B	ET method.						
^c Calculated via the BJH-plot method.							

Figure 6: Pyrolysis products yield and the selectivity of gas components of TSCP (a) TSCP products yield, and (b) TSCP gas components selectivity

Conclusions

As a result of ESCP, Z280 showed the highest olefin gas selectivity, while ISCP of S15 resulted in the highest gas yield. Therefore, TSCP was carried out using Z280 and S15, and it was confirmed that the gas yield and olefin gas selectivity were higher, and the ex-situ coke yield was lower than those in conventional ESCP. The lower coke yield leads to an extended lifetime of the ex-situ catalyst and enables a stable and prolonged production of olefin gas.

The authors would like to express appreciation for the support of the sponsors: This work was supported by the Ministry of Environment's waste resource energy recycling professional training project (YL-WE-22-001).